Transforming engineering programs with Studios

Professor Roger Hadgraft Director, Educational Innovation and Research University of Technology Sydney Roger.Hadgraft@UTS.edu.au



What do you want to get out of today?







What does success look like for you?



Agenda

- 1. The story of our **Summer Studios** (using **Design Thinking**)
- 2. What are the **challenges** of curriculum at TUB for **students** and **faculty**
- 3. Define the **outcomes** in one of your subjects know, act, be
- Design a learning **activity** for a studio 4.
- Consider how you would manage engagement and feedback the semester plan
- 5. 6. How might you ensure (and celebrate) student success?





Key requirements

- **1. Technical** expertise (of course!)
- 2. Holistic, systems approaches
- 3. Increasingly complex and multidisciplinary
- 4. Privilege lifecycle and societal considerations, expectations and trust
- 5. Problem finding with stakeholders **Digital** tools will be pervasive, enabling 6. more creative work





Curriculum change

- Better integrated curricula (focused on development of professional skills)
- 2. Collaborative and open-ended problemfinding and solving in multidisciplinary project teams (society + complexity + systems)
- 3. Greater emphasis on **digital design tools** and data analytics
 - stronger industry and community links in teaching.



Summer Studios – Lessons from a 'small bet' in curriculum redesign

Roger Hadgraft, Beata Francis, Justine Lawson, Rob Jarman, Jasmine Tekmen Araci University of Technology Sydney





Intent – improve student learning, experientially

- High energy, high collaboration, project-based
- Real-world design challenges
- Facilitated by academic experts, industry and students











Overview

- 1. Run over 6 weeks, mid-January to end-February
- **2. Elective** for most students = 6 credit points = 25% of a semester
- **3. 165 students + 13 topics +** 50 staff
- **4. Training** for facilitators (see the SEFI paper)
- 5. Used design thinking as fundamental concept











http://www.uvm.edu/~ekorsuns/designthinking/images/design_thinking_process_diagram.png

IDEATE

PROTOTYPE







Learning objectives

- 1. Engage with stakeholders
- 2. Apply design thinking
- 3. Apply technical skills
- 4. Demonstrate effective collaboration
- 5. Conduct critical self and peer review





Humanitarian engineering – portable concrete mixer







Studio topics (selection)

- 1. Activating the Smart City *
- 2. Humanitarian Engineering *
- 3. Data Science
- 4. Control and Automation
- 5. IoT Project using Python
- 6. Medical diagnostic device
- 7. Robotics rehabilitation studio
- 8. Vivid 2018 * --
- 9. 3D Printing
- 10. Aerospace Challenge *
- **11. Structural Engineering**

= student-led,

12. Innovation & Entrepreneurship





Agile ideas incorporated

- 1. Divided 6 weeks into 3 x 2 week sprints
 - Regular feedback and critique
- 2. Staff **scrums** used to debrief and plan next steps each week
- 3. Student **mixes** used to crossfertilize ideas between studios

Aerospace – deployable solar array





Agile project management MIDAS SUMMER STUDIO IN 6 WEEKS



MIDAS = More Innovative Design Able Students

nts

Open ended scope, **freedom and creativity**. I liked how I had freedom to learn using my own practical experiences in stead of a regimented assessment schedule.

14

Insights

- 1. Better **scaffolding** was required
 - 2. Students needed to be encouraged to **ask** questions! (better facilitation)
 - 3. Students discovered real teamwork and collaboration
 - 4. Confusion over their **portfolio** requirements







3D printing – assistive device





Outcomes

- 1. Student-led studios were most successful
- 2. Studios are a great training ground for new teaching approaches (low risk)
- 3. Roll out of studios across all programs is underway; We now have 80+ studio subjects!









IoT – remote-controlled submersible



New Mechanical Engineering Curriculum

First Year			Second Year		Third Year			Fourth Year			Fifth `	
Autumn	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Spring Summer	Autumn	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Spring	Summer	Autumn	
Stage 1	Stage 2	Free	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	Free	Stage 7	Stage 8	Stage 9		,
Mathematical modelling 1	Engineering computations and modelling		Mathematical Modelling 2		Design for Sustainability,Safety and Risk	Engineering Economics and Finance		Engineering Project Management	Entrepreneurship and Commercialisation			Sub- Mech
Physical modelling	Structural design A (simple systems)		Thermal/fluid design A (simple systems)	ernship	Structural design B (complex systems)	Advanced Manufacturing (B) (Industry 4.0)		Mechanical system dynamics, vibration, measurement and control A	Mechanical system dynamics, vibration, measurement and control B	ern ship		Sub- Mech
Engineering Communications	Materials and Manufacturing (A)		Design of machines and mechanisms A (kinematics)	24 week Inte	Thermal/fluid design B (complex systems)	Design of machines and mechanisms B (kinetics and adv kinematics)		Sub-Major/Elective or Mech Choice Studio	Engineering Research Preparation	24 week Inte		Sub- Mech
Introduction to Mechanical Engineering	Introduction to Mechatronic Engineering		Mech Studio A (machine/product design)		Mech Studio B (Thermal/fluid system)	Application Studio A		Application Studio B	Professional Studio A			Profes (
			Engineering Practice Preparation 1		Engineering Practice Reflection 1			Engineering Practic Preparation 2	Engineering Practice Preparation 2			Engin R



Questions or comments?





Agenda

- 1. The story of our **Summer Studios**
- 2. Review **Design Thinking**
- 3. Challenges of curriculum at TUB for students and faculty
- Define the **outcomes** in one of your subjects know, act, be 4.
- Design a learning **activity** 5.
- Consider how you would manage engagement and feedback the semester plan 6.
- 7. How might you ensure (and celebrate) student success?





Empathise: Challenges at TUB (from your perspective)

Students

Staff

Write ONE per sticky note (5-10 minutes) Aggregate and cluster Big ideas?





Stanford d.School's Resources for Design Thinking

https://dschool.stanford.edu/resources/

You will use a Stanford template to quickly work through your partner's problem to help them think differently:

- **1. Empathise** two rounds of interviews
- 2. Define the problem
- **3.** Ideate some solutions, in 2 rounds
- 4. **Prototype** one solution
- **5. Test** with your partner











Share, learn and comment











Design the Engagement

How will students engage in your task?What will they need?How will they get feedback?What problems can you foresee?How will you overcome them?





Agile Project Management

Key ideas:

• Sprints, scrums, action!

See Joerg's slide from SEFI

- 1. Learn-test-adjust
- 2. Personalised goals
- 3. Iterative sprints
- 4. Self-directed learning
- 5. Engaging collaboratively

Overview: Agile Learning



Learning goes AGILE

- Alternating phases of learning and adopting (Learn-Test-Adjust)
- Generating personalized, work-related learning goals
- Iterative sprints for adaption to changing conditions
- Learning in a self directed manner
- Engaging in a community of practice







Acknowledge and celebrate student success

How?







Lessons learned



Questions, comments?







HOME WHAT AND WHEN WHY

Introduction

Welcome

Thank you for visiting the SPARK^{PLUS} web site. Please look around to learn more about SPARK^{PLUS}, including additional detailed information about it's features and pedagogical rationale for it use.

About SPARK^{PLUS}

"Group projects aren't fair" is a frequent student response in higher education. Group work is used to facilitate peer learning and encourage students to develop collaboration, crucial graduate attributes. Since assessment strongly influences learning, any course objective to improve peer learning and/or collaboration must have assessment that promotes it.

Self and peer assessment is a valid solution for promoting these objectives and overcoming potential inequities of equal marks for unequal contributions. Group members are responsible for negotiating and managing the balance of contributions and then assessing whether the balance has been achieved.

Over the last decade our focus in using self and peer assessment has changed from making group work fairer (something it does automatically with careful implementation) to using it to produce formative learning-oriented feedback to complete the learning cycle and encourage the ongoing development of skills. More recently we have found self and peer assessment to be a valuable tool to produce learning oriented student centred assessments, facilitate collaborative peer learning and to develop monitor and track students' attribute development.

SPARK^{PLUS} is a web-based self and peer assessment kit. It enables students to confidentially rate their own and their peers' contributions to a team task or individual submissions.

USING SPARKPLUS

REFERENCES

<u>View NEW resources for</u> <u>interpreting SPARK</u>PLUS <u>Factors</u>

Introduction Welcome About SPARKPLUS



Trello

DL 3

rsonal 合 Private

...

Done

Build website, basic verbage and images, contact form, graphic design and web host / domain management: http://scifuture.org

2+

Build Website for Confernece

P 1

Create facebook open group for event: https://www.facebook.com/groups/34 4043225727469/

+ Add another card

+ Add anot

...